Axillary lymphadenectomy for breast cancer and fibrin glue



Roberto Ruggiero, Giovanni Docimo, Adelmo Gubitosi, Giovanni Conzo, Salvatore Tolone, Simona Gili, Alfonso Bosco, Ludovico Docimo

Division of General Surgery, Department of Surgery, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy

Axillary lymphadenectomy for breast cancer and fibrin glue

BACKGROUND: Axillary lymphadenectomy or sentinel biopsy is integral part of breast cancer treatment, yet seroma formation occurs in 15-85% of cases. Among methods employed to reduce seroma magnitude and duration, fibrin glue has been proposed in numerous studies with controversial results.

METHODS: Eighty patients underwent quadrantectomy or mastectomy with level I/II axillary lymphadenectomy; a suction drain was fitted in all patients. Fibrin glue spray were applied to the axillary fossa in 40 patients; the other 40 patients were treated conventionally.

RESULTS: Suction drainage was removed between post-operative Days 3 and 4. Seroma magnitude and duration were significantly reduced (p=0.004 and 0.02, respectively), and there were fewer evacuative punctures, in patients receiving fibrin glue compared with the conventional treatment group.

CONCLUSIONS: Use of fibrin glue does not always prevent seroma formation, but does reduce seroma magnitude, duration and necessary evacuative punctures.

KEY WORDS: Breast cancer, Fibrin glue, Seroma

Introduction

Axillary dissection represents an integral part of the treatment for breast cancer for prognostic and curative purposes. There is still a significant incidence (15–81%)¹⁻⁵

of complications associated with axillary lymphadenectomy, including lymphorrhea, lymphoceles, and in rare cases lymphedema (swelling of the arm). It is possible to avoid axillary dissection in selected patients (T1 N0) using the sentinel lymph node technique. However, in all cases where T > 3 cm, and in patients with T1 N1 or with a metastatic sentinel lymph node, conventional axillary lymphadenectomy remains the primary surgical protocol for quadrantectomy and mastectomy. Many different methodologies have been used in an effort to reduce seroma formation following axillary lymphadenectomy for breast cancer. These include suction drainage ^{6,7}, topical application of tetracycline ⁸, closing and stitching the axillary fossa ^{9,10}, axillary dissection

Pervenuto in Redazione Settembre 2012. Accettato per la pubblicazione Settembre 2012

Correspondence to:Ruggiero R, MD Ph D, Second University of Naples XI General Surgery Division, Naples, Italy (e-mail: roberto.ruggiero@unina2.it)

with axilloscopy 11, external compression 12,13, and application of fibrin glue 14-15. To date, no practical guidelines exist on how to conduct suction drainage, and the views of surgeons are varied. Some surgeons believe that it is best to remove the drain on the first day of the post-operative period, some think the drain should be left in place until the drained volume falls below 50 ml/day 16, while others feel that drainage could in fact prolong and intensify the inflammatory stage of the wound-healing process, with a subsequent increase in seroma formation ¹⁷. Regardless of contradicting opinions, the possibility of reducing the time for which drainage is present during the recovery period, therefore eliminating the need to discharge patients with drainage in situ, may represent a valid solution for reducing seroma formation. This prospective study evaluates the effectiveness of using fibrin glue to reduce seroma formation following axillary lymphadenectomy for breast cancer.

Methods

Between January 2008 and December 2011, 80 patients were enrolled in the study. All patents had N+ breast adenocarcinoma and required either a quadrantectomy or a modified radical mastectomy with level I or II axillary lymphadenectomy, extending from the lower border of the axillary vein superiorly, from the medial border of the smaller pectoral muscle medially, as far as the fourth intercostobrachial nerve inferiorly and to the edge of the latissimus dorsi laterally. For the axillary lymphadenectomy, the vascular-lymphatic branches were ligatured to limit the use of an electric or ultrasound scalpel ¹⁸. To be enrolled in the study, patients were required to have no alterations in their blood clotting or immune systems, or at least not be receiving anticoagulant treatment; have no history of sensitisation to bovine aprotinin; no psychological changes; no uncompensated diabetes or advanced liver disease; not be severely obese, and not have had previous surgery on the axillary lymphatic system or any immediate reconstructive surgery. Short-term antibiotic prophylaxis was applied. The enrolled patients were divided into two randomized groups, each comprising 40 patients (30 quadrantectomies and 10 mastectomies). After surgery, all patients were fitted with a suction drain at the axillary fossa, which was activated 10 minutes after stitching the skin. One group received the suction drain without additional treatment, while the other group received fibrin glue (Tisseal® / Tissucol®, Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Deerfield, IL, USA). For patients in the fibrin glue treatment group, following fitting of the drain, fibrin glue prepared with 500 IU/ml of thrombin was applied as a spray (2 ml, from a distance of 10 cm with 2 bars of pressure) at the site of the quadrantectomy or mastectomy. Fibrin glue prepared with 5 IU/ml of thrombin was also applied as a spray (2 ml) to the axillary fossa

with approximately three minutes' manual compression . A compression dressing was applied over the treatment site and kept in place for at least 24-72 hours. Fibrin glue for the axillary fossa was prepared by diluting it to 5 IU/ml with calcium chloride solution (20 mM), a concentration capable of maintaining activation of the wound-healing process through a thick mesh of fibrin fibrils. Patients were discharged when the volume drained fell below 100 ml/day and suction drainage could be removed. One week later, patients underwent clinical and instrumental (ultrasound) assessment for the presence of seroma, and any residue was suctioned out using an echo-guided evacuative puncture, as necessary. The primary outcome measures of the study were A) the incidence of seroma, including total volume of serum drained, and B) number of evacuative punctures required. A secondary outcome measure of the study was the duration of the treatment up to the time of healing. Complications associated with the axillary lymphadenectomy procedure were also recorded. The Wilcoxon ranksum test was used to assess differences between the two patient groups.

Results

Factors as age, size of tumors and its ratio to the residual breast volume following surgery, the number of lymph node removed and the number of metastatic lymph nodes, also did not represent significant variables in terms of seroma magnitude. All patients were discharged 3-4 days following surgery. Two patient in the group who did not receive fibrin glue was discharged with the drain in situ because the serum volume was greater than 100 ml/day. The patients was controlled by family doctor at home and the drain was removed in days 7 and 8 p.o.. Compared with patients in the control group, patients in the fibrin glue group had a significantly lower drainage volume (130 [60–350] versus 250 [110–550] ml; p = 0.004), fewer evacuative punctures (2 [0-3] versus $\hat{4}$ [1-5]) and a significantly reduced mean seroma duration i.e. healing time (12 [4-32] versus 4 [1-5] days; p = 0.02). The incidence of complications was 20% (6 with cellulitis and 2 with wound diastasis) and 30% (8 with cellulitis and 4 with wound diastasis) in patients who underwent surgery with and without fibrin glue, respectively. No significant relationship was observed in either patient group, between the magnitude of seroma formation and the type of surgical procedure (quadrantectomy or mastectomy) conducted.

Discussion

Breast cancer surgery, and in particular axillary lymphadenectomy, has changed in the last few years with the advent of the sentinel lymph node technique¹¹.

However, even today there are a number of situations where a conventional axillary lymphadenectomy is indicated, including patients with tumours greater than 3 cm in diameter, with positive or suspect axillary lymph nodes based on an objective examination and an instrumental diagnosis, or with a positive sentinel lymph node. In this group of patients, the axillary lymphadenectomy still has complications, in particular seroma formation (15-81%) 1-5, which can delay the patient's discharge, healing and supplementary radiotherapy and chemotherapy treatments. The formation of seroma can result from a lesion of the axillary lymphatic vessels ² or from an inflammatory reaction ¹⁷, which may also be prolonged and intensified by the continuance of suction drainage. The removal of drainage for some surgeons is indicated when the volume per day is less than 50 ml², but we prefer to remove the drainage when the volume per day is less than 100 ml, since in our experience drains do not prevent seroma formation, and they also dictate the date of discharge, resulting in a longer stay 3. A number of surgeons believe that it is possible to discharge patients with the drainage in situ 3, despite the associated discomfort and increase in percentage infection rate. This issue of drainage management in the home arises in cases of procedures carried out in day surgery. Many published articles on the usefulness of drainage following axillary lymphadenectomy contradict each another with regard to seroma control, magnitude and duration. Porter¹⁷ reported a non-significant difference in the incidence and degree of seroma between patients with suction drainage (73%) versus patients without suction drainage (89%). Divino⁴ reported a 6% incidence of seroma for patients with drainage, compared with 40% for patients without. Burak¹⁹ noted a relationship between seroma magnitude and patient age. Jeffrey²⁰ reported a 92% seroma incidence in patients without drainage, and sharing the view of others that repeated suctions may be the cause of infections of the axillary fossa²¹⁻²⁵, applied evacuative puncture only in symptomatic cases (42%). In terms of the methods of reducing seroma magnitude, there have been numerous reports of the benefits of using an external compression dressing²⁶, immobilisation of the arm ²⁷, use of sutures to close the axillary fossa 28, excessive use of the electric scalpel compared to ligature of the lymphatic branches 29,30, benefits of multiple drains 21, and the type of suction (high- or low-pressure) applied 31. The use of fibrin glue has also produced contrasting results: reduction of seroma according to Moore and Gilly 11,26 no difference in seroma formation compared with patients treated without fibrin glue, according to Burak ¹⁹, Langer ²⁷ and Dinsmore ²⁸. The latter Authors attributed the lack of benefit to the presence of drainage possibly interfering with the stabilization of a fibrin clot, and with closure of the lymphatic capillaries. Fibrin glue interacts with the tissues damaged during the surgical procedure, favoring the growth of fibroblasts and wound healing. It favors hemostasis by preventing hematomas, which delay the surgical healing processes; makes the lymphatic branches impermeable, reducing seroma formation; and makes it possible to close the dead spaces through tissue adhesion. A number of papers have presented comparative studies of patients with and without fibrin glue in the axillary fossa. In a group of 20 patients who underwent quadrantectomy with axillary lymphadenectomy, Jain 29 reported a seroma incidence of around 40%, with a reduction in seroma magnitude in mastectomy patients upon whom fibrin glue was used. Although a significant difference was not observed between quadrantectomy patients treated with or without fibrin glue. The study demonstrated that suction drainage did not limit the incidence or magnitude of seromas, and that it was associated with extended time spent in hospital and post-operative pain. Soon 30 showed that, among patients who underwent quadrantectomy or mastectomy with axillary lymphadenectomy, there was no difference in terms of the incidence of seromas with or without the use of suction drainage, and that, for the group of patients without drainage, the seromas formed in greater magnitude and for a longer duration, but with a lower percentage of complications. Kuroi ³¹ in a Medline search about one meta-analysis, 51 randomized controlled trials, 7 prospective studies and 7 retrospective studies showed that there was moderate evidence to support a risk for seroma formation in individuals with heavier body weight, extended radical mastectomy and greater drainage volume in the initial 3 days; with regard to the use of adhesive glue many retrospective studies failed to show any significant effect on seroma formation. Based on our experience and reviewing data from the literature ^{3,10,21,31-37}, it seems that the magnitude and duration of the seromas are limited, but they are present in over 80% of patients, without significant differences between mastectomy and quadrantectomy. The use of fibrin glue may therefore be useful, in our opinion, in traditional breast cancer surgery for reducing seroma magnitude and duration, and shortening the stay in hospital which, in this pathology too, is increasingly conducted in day surgery.

Riassunto

Introduzione: La dissezione ascellare o la biopsia del linfonodo sentinella rimane parte integrante del trattamento del cancro della mammella; la percentuale di sieromi è comunque abbastanza elevata (15-81%). Molte tecniche sono state proposte per ridurre la intensità e la durata dei sieromi. L'impiego della colla di fibrina è stato proposto in diversi studi con risultati controversi. MATERIALI E METODI: Sono state arruolate 80 pazienti con cancro della mammella e sottoposte a quadrantectomia o mastectomia con linfectomia ascellare di I e II

livello. È sempre stato posizionato un drenaggio aspirativo. In 40 pazienti è stata utilizzata colla di fibrina nel cavo ascellare.

RISULTATI: Il drenaggio aspirativo è stato rimosso tra la III e la IV giornata post-operatoria. L'entità e la durata del sieroma è stata inferiore (*p*=0.004 e 0.02 rispettivamente) con minori punture evacuative, nel gruppo di pazienti trattate con colla di fibrina rispetto al gruppo di controllo.

CONCLUSIONI: L'impiego della colla di fibrina non è in grado di prevenire la formazione del sieroma ma ne riduce l'entità e la durata e la necessità di punture evacuative.

References

- 1. Woodworth PA, McBoyle MF, Helmer SD, Beamer RI.: Seroma formation after breast cancer surgery: Incidence and predicting factors. Am Surg, 2000; 66:444-51.
- 2. Classe JM, Dupre PF, Francois T, et al.: Axillary padding as an alternative to closed suction drain for ambulatory axillary lymphadenectomy: A prospective cohort of 207 patients with early breast cancer. Arch Surg, 2002; 137:169-73.
- 3. Ruggiero R, Procaccini E, Piazza P, Docimo G, Iovino F, Antoniol G, Irlandese E, Gili S, Lo Schiavo F: *Effectiveness of fibrin glue in conjuction with collagen patches to reduce seroma formation after axillary lymphadenectomy for breast cancer.* Am J Surg, 2008; 196 (2):170-74.
- 4. Divino CM, Kuerer HM, Tartter PI: Drains prevent seromas following lympectomy with axillary dissection. Breast J, 2000; 6:31-33.
- 5. Rice DC, Morris SM, Sarr MG, et al.: Intraoperative topical tetracycline sclerotherapy following mastectomy: A prospective, randomized trial. J Surg Oncol, 2000; 73:224-27.
- 6. Marfella R, Luongo C, Coppola M, Luongo M, Capodanno P, Ruggiero R, Mascolo C, Ambrosino I, Sardu, C, Boccardi V, Lettieri B, Paolisso G: *Use of a non specific immunomodulation therapy as a therapeutic vasculogenesis strategy in no-option critical limb ischemia oatients*. Atherosclerosis, 2010; 208 (2):473-79.
- 7. Gubitosi A, Ruggiero R, Ortolani R, Podzemny V, Parmeggiani D, Esposito E, Foroni F, Esposito A, Villaccio G: *Ambulatory laser assisted surgery: A multi center application and experience.* Ann Ital Chir, 2012:75(6):Pii: S0003469X12018842 (Epub ahead of print).
- 8. Chaturvedi P, Chaturvedi U: Axillary compression with delayed drain removal reduces prolonged seroma formation. J Surg Oncol, 2001; 78:279-80.
- 9. O'Hea BJ, Ho M, Petrek JA: External compression dressing versus standard dressing after axillary lymphadenectomy. Am J Surg, 1999; 177:450-53.
- 10. Ruggiero R, Procaccini E, Gili S, Cremone C, Parmeggiani D, Conzo G, Docimo L, Sparavigna L, Gubitosi A, Docimo G, Sanguinetti A, Avenia N: *New trends on fibrin glue in seroma after axillary lymphadenectomy for breast cancer* Il Giornale di Chirurgia, 2009, 30(5-7):306-10.
- 11. Moore M, Burak Jr WE, Nelson E, et al.: Fibrin sealant reduces the duration and amount of fluid drainage after axillary dissection: A

- randomized prospective clinical trial. J Am Coll Surg, 2001; 192:591-99.
- 12. Dinsmore RC, Harris JA, Gustafson RJ: Effect of fibrin glue on lymphatic drainage after modified radical mastectomy: A prospective randomized trial. Am Surg, 2000; 66:982-85.
- 13. Sajid MS, Utson K, Kaira L, Bonomi R: The role of fibrin glue instillation under skin flaps in the prevention of seroma formation and related morbilities following breast and axillary surgery for breast cancer: A meta analysis. J Surg Oncol, 2012, Apr 24, 10.1002/jso.23140
- 14. Berger A, Tempfer C, Harmann B, et al.: Sealing of postoperative axillary leakage after axillary lymphadenectomy using a fibrin glue coated collagen patch: A prospective randomized study. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2001; 67:9-14.
- 15. Watt-Boolsen S, Nielsen VB, Jensen J, Bak S: *Postmastectomy seroma. A study of the nature and origin of seroma after mastectomy.* Dan Med Bull, 1989; 36:487-89.
- 16. Moher D, Schulz KF, Altman DG, for the CONSORT Group: *The CONSORT statement: Revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomised trials.* Lancet, 2001; 357:1191-194.
- 17. Porter KA, O'Connor S, Rimm E, Lopez M: *Electrocautery as a factor in stroma formation following mastectomy.* Am J Surg, 1998; 176:8-11.
- 18. Gubitosi A, Moccia G, Malinconico F A, Gilio F, Iside G, Califano U, Foroni F, Ruggiero R, Docimo G, Parmeggiani D, Agresti M: *Unusual metastasis of left colon cancer: Consideration on two cases.* Acta Biomedica, 2008; 80:82.
- 19. Burak WE Jr, Goodman PS, Young DC, Farrar WB: Seroma formation following axillary dissection for breast cancer: Risk factors and lack of influence of bovine thrombin. J Surg Oncol, 1997; 64:27-31.
- 20. Jeffrey SS, Goodson WH III, Ikeda DM, Birdwell RL, Bogetz MS, Giuliano A: *Axillary lymphadenectomy for breast cancer without axillary drainage*. Arch Surg, 1995; 130:909-13.
- 21. Ruggiero R, Sparavigna L, Docimo G. Gubitosi A, Agresti M, Procaccini E, Docimo L: *Post-operative peritonitis due to anastomotic dehiscence after coloni resection. Multicentric experience, retrospective analysis of risk factors and review of the literature.* Ann Ital Chir, 2011; 82(5):369-75.
- 22. Purushotham AD, McLatchie E, Young D, et al.: Randomized clinical trial of no wound drains and early discharge in the treatment of women with breast cancer. Br J Surg, 2002; 36:286-92.
- 23. O'Hea BJ, Ho MN, Petrek JA: External compression dressing versus standard dressing after axillary lymphadenectomy. Am J Surg, 1999; 177:450-53.
- 24. O'Dwyer PJ, O'Higgins NJ, James AG: Effect of closing dead space on incidence of seroma formation following mastectomy. Surg Gynecol Obstet, 1991; 172:55-56.
- 25. Van Heurn LW, Brink PR: Prospective randomized trial of high versus low vacuum drainage after axillary lymphadenectomy. Br J Surg, 1995; 82:931-32.
- 26. Gilly FN, Francois Y, Sayag Beaujard AC, et al.: Prevention of lymphorrhea by means of fibrin glue after axillary lymphadenectomy in breast cancer: Prospective randomized trial. Eur Surg Res, 1998; 30:439-43.

- 27. Langer S, Guenther M, Fronzo A: Does fibrin sealant reduce drain output and allow earlier removal of drainage catheter in women undergoing operation for breast cancer? Am Surg, 2003; 69:77-81.
- 28. Dinsmore RC, Harris JA, Gustafson RJ: Effect of fibrin glue on lymphatic drainage after modified radical mastectomy: A prospective randomized trial. Am Surg, 2000; 66:982-985.
- 29. Jain PK, Sowdi R, Anderson DG, MacFie J: Randomized clinical trial investigation of the use of drains and fibrin sealant following surgery for breast cancer. Br J Surg, 2004; 91:54-60.
- 30. Soon PSH, Clark J, Magarey CJ: Seroma formation after axillary lymphadenectomy with and without the use of drains. Breast, 2005; 14:103-107.
- 31. Kuroi K, Shimozuma K, Taguchi T, Imai H, Yamashiro H, Ohsumi S, Saito S: *Evidence based risk for seroma formation in breast surgery*. Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2006; 36:197-206.
- 32. Ruggiero R, Procaccini E, Gili S, Cremone C, Docimo G, Iovino F, Docimo L, Sparavigna L, Gubitosi A, Parmeggiani D, Avenia N: Fibrin glue to reduce seroma after axillary lymphadenectomy for breast cancer. Minerva Chir, 2008, 63 (3), 249-54.
- 33. Sanguinetti A, Docimo G, Ragusa M, Calzolari F, D'Ajello F,

- Ruggiero R, Parmeggiani D, Pezzolla A, Procaccini E, Avenia N: *The ultrasound scissors versus electocautery in axillary dissection: Our experience.* Il Giornale di Chirurgia, 2010, 31(4):151-53.
- 34. Sanguinetti A, Rosato L, Cirocchi R, Barberini F, Pezzolla A, Cavallaro G, Parmeggiani D, Ruggiero R, Docimo G, Procaccini E, Santoriello A, Rulli A, Gubitosi A, Canonico S, Taffurelli M, Sciannameo F, Barbarisi A, Docimo L, Agresti M, De Toma G, Noya G, Parmeggiani U, Avenia N: Antibiotic prophlylaxis in breast surgery. Preliminary results of a multicenter randomized study on 1400 cases. Ann Ital Chir, 2009; 80:275-79.
- 35. Van Bemmel AJ, Van de Veide CJ, Schmitz RF, Liefers GJ: Prevention of seroma formation after axillary dissection in breast cancer: A systematic review. Eur J Surg Oncol, 2011, 37(10):829-35.
- 36. Cipolla C, Fricano S, Vieni S, Graceffa G, Licari G, Torcivia A, Latteri MA: Does the use of fibrin glue prevent seroma formation after axillary limphadenectomy for breast cancer? A prospective randomized trial in 159 patients. J Surg Oncol, 2010; 101(7):600-3.
- 37. Sanguinetti A, Catania A, Docimo G, Avenia N.: Radical axillary dissection in sentinel lymph node biopsy era: It's still a considerable technique in breast cancer management? G Chir, 2010; 31(6-7):344-46.